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Mission

To promote health (broadly defined) through partnerships between communities and higher educational institutions
At-A-Glance

- Nonprofit organization launched in January ‘97
- Headquartered in Milwaukee, WI USA
- 13-member board of directors
- 1,800 members from communities and campuses across the US & other countries
- Private & public funding
- Staff, students & senior consultants
Goals

- **Combine knowledge, wisdom & experience** in communities and in academic institutions to solve major health, social and economic challenges
- **Build capacity** of communities & higher educational institutions to engage each other in authentic partnerships
- **Support communities** in their relationships & work with academic partners
- **Recognize & reward** faculty for community engagement & community-engaged scholarship
- **Develop partnerships** that balance power & share resources equitably among partners
- **Ensure community-driven social change** central to service-learning & community-based participatory research (CBPR)
The Current State of Community-University Partnerships

1. There have been dozens of national groups calling for “the engaged campus”

2. The #, range, scope & benefits of “partnerships” and funding for “partnerships” is diverse and growing

3. The predominant model is not a partnership - but we are learning more about what works and doesn’t work

4. There are ongoing challenges – but also recognized success factors
There have been dozens of national groups calling for “the engaged campus”

- Wingspread Declaration on Renewing the Civic Mission of the American Research University, 1998
- CCPH Principles of Partnership, 1998
- Campus Compact Benchmarks, 1998
- Presidents’ Declaration on Civic Responsibility of Higher Ed, 1999
- Kellogg Commission on Future of State & Land-Grant Institutions, 1999
- Wingspread Summit, Realizing the Promise of Authentic Community-Higher Ed Partnerships: Community Partners Speak Out, 2006
- Higher Education Network for Community Engagement, 2006
- Research Universities for Community Engagement Network, 2007
The Engaged Campus Vision expands the scope of our work from student involvement in service and service-learning to campus-wide involvement in community partnerships. Service and service-learning remain crucial to education students for life-long, informed and active citizenship. Yet these strategies are only two of the many possible ways that campuses can and do collaborate with their communities in order to promote positive social change.

Campus Compact
Human resources: knowledgeable faculty, staff, students

Services: health care, day care, transportation, legal aid

Materials: food donations, recycling

Facilities: meeting space, sports facilities, libraries

Economic support: employment, loans, purchasing coop

Emotional support: caring faculty, staff, students

Equipment and technology: AV, computer, internet

Policy and advocacy: credibility, relationships, political skills

Cultural activities: festivals, museums, music, theater
The Engaged Campus
An Evolutionary Process

Charity → project → social change
Community oriented → community based → community partnership
Furthering 3 traditional missions → 4th mission as “institutional citizen”
Fragmented → coordinated → strategic
Indicators of Engagement
Campus Compact

Mission and purpose
Administrative and academic leadership
Explicit connection to teaching and research
Internal and external resource allocation
Disciplines, departments, and interdisciplinary work
Faculty development, roles and rewards
Community and student voice
Enabling mechanisms
Mutually beneficial, sustained partnerships
The Engaged Campus
Why Now?

Tradition of seeking moral and public purpose
Public expectations of accountability and value
Higher education as an economic asset
Prepare graduates for practice
Declining funding for higher education
Accreditation requirements
Communities face complex challenges and need to draw on all institutions as assets
Funding agencies increasingly recognizing community-academic partnerships as a strategy for change
The #, range & scope of “partnerships” is diverse and growing

- Eliminating racial and ethnic health disparities
- Increasing health workforce diversity
- Closing the achievement gap in K-12 education
- Increasing access to higher ed, health care, info technology
- Increasing youth civic engagement
- Increasing relevance of research, translation into practice & policy
- Creating healthier campuses
- Establishing quality affordable housing
- Revitalizing cities
- Developing rural economies
- Preparing graduates for practice in wide range of fields...

Methods & models: Community service, service-learning, community-based participatory research, etc.
98% of campuses offer service-learning courses, which combine academic with community work

98% of campuses have one or more community partnerships, most commonly involving nonprofit organizations (95%), K-12 schools (90%), and faith-based organizations (62%)

$4 billion in student volunteer service

Growth in campus structures to support faculty/student engagement

Campus Compact Member Survey

- Funding: Kellogg, Casey, CDC, NIH, CNCS, HUD...
- Recognition: President’s Honor Roll, Carnegie Classification
Benefits to Communities

- Community capacity building
- Advancement of mission
- New perspectives and insights
- Rewarding personal and professional relationships
- Access to information and research
- Funding
- Credibility for their own efforts
- Exposure and access to higher education
- Being recognized as peers, teachers and experts
Benefits to Students

- Transformational learning experiences
- Clarification of values, sense of self
- Awareness and understanding of broader health and social justice issues
- Awareness and understanding of policy issues
- Comfort working in and with diverse communities
- Leadership development
Benefits to Faculty

- Fulfillment of personal values and beliefs
- Linkage of personal & professional lives
- Enhanced relationships with students and community
- Increased understanding of community issues & concerns
- New career and scholarship directions
- New directions and confidence in teaching
- External funding & validation
Benefits to Institutions

- Student recruitment and retention
- Enhancement of curriculum
- Alumni giving
- External funding
- Graduates excel
- Positive public relations
- Diverse research participants
- Accreditation
- Awards
Engaged higher education institutions and communities:

- See their present and future well-being as inextricably linked
- Collaboratively plan and design mutually beneficial programs and outcomes
- Engage in reciprocal learning
- Respect the history, culture, knowledge, and wisdom of the other
Characteristics of Vibrant Community-University Partnerships
WK Kellogg Foundation

- Create structures that promote open communication and equity with one another
- Have high expectations for their performance and involvement with each other
- Value and promote diversity
- Regularly conduct a joint assessment of their partnership and report results
Founded in 1992, the Netter Center for Community Partnerships is Penn’s primary vehicle for applying knowledge so that West Philadelphia (Penn’s local geographic community), Philadelphia, the University itself, and society benefit. The Center is based on 3 core propositions:

1. Penn’s future and the future of West Philadelphia/Philadelphia are intertwined.
2. Penn can make a significant contribution to improving the quality of life in West Philadelphia/Philadelphia.
3. Penn can enhance its overall mission of advancing and transmitting knowledge by helping to improve the quality of life in West Philadelphia/Philadelphia.
University of PA and West Philadelphia

The Center works to achieve the following objectives:
1. Improve the internal coordination and collaboration of all university-wide community service programs
2. Create new and effective partnerships between the University and the community
3. Create and strengthen local, national and international networks of institutions of higher education committed to engagement with their local communities

Governance: National board, faculty board, community board & student board
University of PA and West Philadelphia

The Center’s strategy for systemic change: Mobilizing University resources to help transform traditional neighborhood schools into innovative university-assisted community schools.

A community school is both a place and a set of partnerships that bring the public school together with other community resources. Schools become hubs for their neighborhood, in which an integrated focus on academics, health and social services, youth development, community development and community engagement leads to improved student learning, stronger families, and healthier communities.
University of PA and West Philadelphia

**Urban Nutrition Initiative** - organizes school day, after school and summer learning activities: food and nutrition lessons; healthy cooking classes; fruit and vegetable stands; job training and youth leadership; school-based gardens; farmers’ markets; community fitness and health programs. Expanded through state funding to 20 schools, serving 10,000 students/month.

**America Reads/ America Counts** - federal work-study program that employs university students as tutors to work with children in grades K-8 to improve literacy and math skills via one-on-one and small group tutoring.

**Access Science** – helps public school teachers improve their teaching of math and science. Penn’s Department of Biology runs professional development for 80 teachers from 43 schools.
University of PA and West Philadelphia

Developing programs across campus that promote community-based problem solving:
Public Interest Anthropology Program
Urban Education Minor
Urban Health Track, Health & Societies Major
Franklin Community, a living-learning community dedicated to public service
Urban Social Entrepreneurship
Service-learning & community-based research aim to bring about structural community improvement: Effective public schools, neighborhood economic development, and strong community organizations.

In 2008: 160 courses, 21 departments, 50 faculty, 1500 students, 5000 children & families from schools within three high school catchment areas. Sayre High School one of five Philadelphia high schools to make adequate yearly progress, the primary standard used to evaluate academic progress.
The Charleston & Georgetown Diabetes Coalition

**Mission:** To eliminate disparities for over 12,000 African Americans with diabetes through community action, health systems change, and collaboration. These disparities include decreased diabetes care, education, medications, and treatment; increased ER use, hospitalizations, costs of care & complications.

**Partners:** 40 area churches, community centers, worksites, and libraries; MUSC College of Nursing

**Governance:** Representative board
Formed in 1999, the Coalition is driven by community strategies and activities. Coalition actions are organized around three themes:

1. Community-driven educational activities where people live, worship, work, play, and seek health care
2. Evidence-based health systems change using continuous quality improvement
3. Coalition power built through collaboration, trust, and sound business plan
History

Mid 1990s - Enterprise Community joins with Dr. Carolyn Jenkins, College of Nursing, to link 19 neighborhoods to conduct a needs assessment and improve health. Priorities include lack of access to education and skills for diabetes and hypertension management.

Late 1990s – Community builds and opens a local health center that focused on primary care for hypertension and diabetes care.

1999 – CDC issues call for REACH 2010 initiative. Community asked Dr. Jenkins to spearhead the development of a Coalition to apply for funding to address diabetes disparities in African Americans.

2000 – Awarded REACH 2010 funding, completed assessment of needs and assets, developed and implemented community action plan

2007 – Awarded CDC funding for South Eastern African American Center of Excellence to Eliminate Disparities (SC, GA, NC)
Weekly diabetes self management education is offered in 8 community sites where people congregate taught by MUSC College of Nursing faculty and community health advisors who are certified diabetes educators.

Local librarians, in collaboration with MUSC librarians and diabetes educators, teach people how to use the Internet to find credible diabetes information

Local health providers work with people to improve diabetes control.

Coalition members work to advocate for policy & systems change.
The Charleston & Georgetown Diabetes Coalition

Community Health Impact

*Increased by 5% annually (until >95%), the % of African Americans with diabetes meeting any of the ADA goals for health measures*

*Decreased & maintained decreased rates of lower limb amputation in African American men and women in Georgetown and Charleston Counties to <= statewide mean for SC*

*Maintained education opportunities using community health advisors and diabetes educators among African American people with diabetes and their support system at 4 classes per week in the community setting.*

*Changed Medicaid reimbursement policy for diabetes education*
Academic Impact

Service-learning, CBPR & community-engaged scholarship
- 200+ students from the Medical University of South Carolina Colleges of Nursing, Pharmacy, Health Professions, Medicine, and Graduate Studies
- 51 interns from other universities
- 5 interns from local high schools
- 4 completed doctoral dissertations
- Dozens of academic and community presentations
- Peer-reviewed publications
The predominant model is not a partnership

- Initiated by campus, framed by academic mission and priorities
- Driven by grant and program requirements
- Disconnects and contradictions
- Bulk of investment in campus infrastructure
- Community as “placement site” or advisor
- Community capacity building & social justice not an explicit goal
- Risks and costs to community can outweigh benefits
Community-Campus Partnerships
What’s Working

- Strong relationships: trust, honesty, transparency, respect
- Mutual benefit of all partners
-Shared ownership of partnership, its projects and products
- Clear roles and expectations of all partners
- Support from funding agency that understands how authentic partnerships are developed and sustained
- Community partners valued/compensated for expertise
- Community and academic partners gain transferable skills
- Community peer networks for mentoring, learning and sharing of best practices
Community-Campus Partnerships
What’s Not Working

- No community engagement as proposal is developed
- Inequitable distribution of power and resources
- Decisions made “behind closed doors”
- Grants require community, but funding goes to institution
- Lack of partner commitment to the community’s future
- Researchers not community or culturally competent
- Undermining funding agency policies
- No planning for sustainability, no exit strategy
- Community lacks infrastructure to fully engage
- Presumption that communities speak with one voice
Framework for Authentic Partnerships

1. Quality processes

“We are not just talking about a process that involves partners. There needs to be a process of shared decision making.”

~ Ella Greene-Moton, Flint, MI

Relationship focused…open, honest and respectful….trust-building…acknowledging of history…committed to mutual learning…sharing credit
2. Meaningful outcomes that are tangible and relevant to communities

“OK, we can work together on community-based participatory research, but only if you support our kids in the pipeline. Bring them to campus for programs, teach them skills they use to be more marketable, give them academic credit.”

~ Vickie Ybarra, Toppenish, WA

Eliminating health disparities…affordable housing…education, economic development…
Framework for Authentic Partnerships

3. Transformation at multiple levels

“We build social capital when we’re doing this work. We don’t often talk about that.”

~ Douglas Taylor, Atlanta, GA

- Personal transformation, including self reflection and heightened political consciousness
- Institutional transformation, including changing policies and systems
- Community transformation, including community capacity building
- Transformation of science and knowledge, including how knowledge is generated, used and valued and what constitutes “evidence”
- Political transformation, including social justice
Partnerships form to serve a specific purpose and may take on new goals over time.

Partners have agreed upon mission, values, goals, measurable outcomes and accountability for the partnership.

The relationship between partners is characterized by mutual trust, respect, genuineness, and commitment.

The partnership builds upon identified strengths and assets, but also works to address needs and increase capacity of all partners.

The partnership balances power among partners and enables resources among partners to be shared.
Principles of Partnership

CCPH board of directors, 1998 & 2006

- Partners make clear and open communication an ongoing priority by striving to understand each other's needs and self-interests, and developing a common language.
- Principles and processes for the partnership are established with the input and agreement of all partners, especially for decision-making and conflict resolution.
- There is feedback among all stakeholders in the partnership, with the goal of continuously improving the partnership and its outcomes.
- Partners share the benefits of the partnership's accomplishments.
- Partnerships can dissolve and need to plan a process for closure.
Ongoing Challenges

• Community distrust of academic institutions
• Unethical behaviors
• Unequal power and distribution of funds
• Resistance to change, loss of control
• Academic culture of needs-based and expert approaches
• Scientific rigor vs. community acceptability & feasibility
• Faculty review, promotion and tenure policies
• Staff job descriptions & performance expectations
• Not viewed by leadership as “mission-critical”
• Institutional review board policies
• Funder requirement and not genuine participatory process
Recognized Success Factors

- Formed to address genuine community concern and strategic partner issues, not to get a grant
- Builds on prior positive relationships, trust
- Has structures, processes that codify sharing influence and control
- Funding is distributed equitably
- Boundary-spanning leadership
- Supportive partner policies and reward structures
- Tangible benefits to all partners
- Balance between partnership process, activities and outcomes
- Culturally competent and appropriately skilled staff, researchers
- Collaborative dissemination
- Ongoing assessment, improvement and celebration
What campuses can do

Conduct a campus assessment of community engagement - build upon strengths, assets

Review mission and strategic plan - how can community partnerships further both?

Review funding and accreditation requirements - how can community partnerships help you meet them?
What campuses can do

Review curriculum - where can community partnerships enhance?
Review research – where can community partnerships enhance?
Create or enhance existing support structures
Examine faculty roles and rewards policies
Invest in the development of faculty, students, community partners and staff
What communities can do

Conduct a community assessment of campus engagement – build upon strengths, assets

Review mission & strategic plan – how can campus partnerships further both?

Review funding, licensing, certification requirements – how can campus partnerships help you meet them?

Identify conditions under which you will & will not engage in campus partnerships
What campuses & communities can do

Engage partners & prospective partners in dialogue and collaborative planning
Develop principle-centered partnerships
Become involved in this movement….

Campus Compact
Community-Campus Partnerships for Health
Tap into Resources!

www.ccph.info

- Communities as Partners in Cancer Clinical Trials: Proposals due December 8
- CCPH Annual Award: Nominations due January 30
- CCPH 11th Conference, April 29 – May 2, 2009 in Milwaukee
- Call for new CCPH board members coming out soon
- Electronic discussion groups
- Peer mentoring
- Online reports & toolkits:
  - Developing & Sustaining CBPR Partnerships
  - Community-Engaged Scholarship Toolkit
References
All available on the CCPH website at www.ccph.info


Community-Campus Partnerships for Health

We invite you to join a growing network of communities & campuses that are collaborating to promote health

Contact us by phone at 414-456-8191 or email at ccph@mcw.edu or visit us online at www.ccph.info